

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/869,534	08/27/2001	Christopher Atkinson	042933/299090	4675	
826 7590 02/22/2007 ALSTON & BIRD LLP		EXAMINER			
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA			PEREZ, ANGELICA		
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000		000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
0	,		2618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.				ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
			EXAMINER		
			ART UNIT	PAPER	
				9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

Please find Interview Summary enclosed.

r(57) 272-7885

PTO-90C (Rev.04-03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/869,534	ATKINSON ET AL.				
morphon Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Perez M. Angelica	2618				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Perez M. Angelica</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Christopher W. McAvoy</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 07 February 2007.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed:						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\boxtimes$ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representative contacted Examiner Perez to ask about what mark to consider when answering to the Pre-Appeal Brief Panel Decision mailed 1/29/2007. The examiner indicated that the Applicant's representative should disregard the mark made in box 2 and proceed as indiacted in marked box 4.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
		,				
	•					
		·				
		7				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an						
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)